

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,559	02/05/2004	James V. Srackangast	3927	5505	
22474 75	90 03/17/2006		EXAMINER		
DOUGHERTY CLEMENTS			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H		
.,	OUGH ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300	NO 20211			TAI EK NOMBEK	
CHARLOTTE,	CHARLOTTE, NC 28211 1722				
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				iv/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.55	0	10/772,559	SRACKANGAST, JAMES V			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Donald Heckenberg	1722			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -			
WHICHEVER IS LONGEF - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mile. - If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or expension.	R, FROM THE MAILING DA le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period vectended period for reply will, by statute, ther than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl	y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on 27 De	ecember 2005.				
•						
3) Since this application	, ————————————————————————————————————					
closed in accordance	e with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	I1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are	pending in the application.			•		
•	im(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/ai	e allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are	rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/a	e objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	• •			
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	r.				
	•	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not req	uest that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR.1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).		
11)☐ The oath or declarati	on is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9					
12) Acknowledgment is a	nade of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	c) None of:	-		· ·		
1. Certified copie	es of the priority documents	s have been received.	·			
2. Certified copie	es of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No			
3. Copies of the	certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
	om the International Bureau					
* See the attached deta	ailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (P1			nmary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten 3) Information Disclosure Statement 		. —	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date —.	m(9) (1 10-1 1-13 01 F 10/36/00)	6) Other:				

1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-10) in the reply filed on 27 December 2005 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse. MPEP § 818.03(a).

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include reference characters, none of which are mentioned in the specification.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, recites "said mandrel member" in line 6. There is no antecedent basis for this limitation, and as such, it is unclear how it relates the previously defined features.

Art Unit: 1722

Based on the disclosure of the application, it is believed that the "mandrel member" recited in claim 1 is intended to be referring to the same structure as the "hole former member," which is previously recited in the claim. Therefore, for purposes of evaluation of the claim on its merits in the rest of this Office Action, it will be assumed that the "mandrel member" is the same as the "hole former." Appropriate clarification and correction, however, is required.

Claim 3 recites "said outer casing" in line 1. There is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The phrase therefore renders the claim indefinite.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cardone et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 3,786,386; previously made of record in the I.D.S. filed by Applicant).

Cardone discloses a magnetic anchoring device. The device comprises a plurality of magnets (15 and 16) and a plurality of

Art Unit: 1722

pole pieces (12). An outer casing is provided comprising a cavity, wherein the plurality of magnets are alternatingly disposed with the plurality of pole pieces (see Figs. 1, 4, and 6).

- 7. Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth above.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a device for positioning a hole former within a casting mold as defined in claim 1. The closest prior art is disclosed by Miller (U.S. Pat. No. 6,110,402) or Domizio (U.S. Pat. No. 6,575,424; previously of record). Miller and Domizio disclose hole formers in combination casting molds, and both devices include magnetic attachment device. Neither Miller or Domizio, however, disclose or suggest the device as comprising a bracket member adapted to substantially abut the inner and upper surface of the casting mold.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,559 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

3-15-6

Donald Heckenberg Primary Examiner

A.U. 1722